

### REMARKS

Claims 64, 68 and 75-80 have been amended. Claims 69-74 have been canceled without prejudice to the underlying subject matter. Claims 64-68 and 75-80 are currently pending in this application.

Claims 76-80 have been amended to change the term "cell" in the preamble to "device" to correspond to claim 75 from which they depend.

Claim 64 is objected to because the term "the voltage or current controlled resistance setable semiconductor material" lack antecedent basis. Claim 64 has been amended to correct these informalities. Claim 64 is also objected to because the term "sidewall" should read "sidewalls." Applicant, however, has amended the term "sidewalls" to read "sidewall." Accordingly, the terms in claim 64 are consistent and have proper antecedent basis. Therefore withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.

Claim 68 is objected to because the term "least possible distance" is not a well-defined term. Claims 68 has been amended and the term has been removed. Therefore withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.

Claim 75 is objected to because the term "a highest of selected setable states" should read "a highest of selected resistance settable states." Claim 75 has been amended to correct this informality. Therefore withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.

The examiner also notes that the term "setable" in various claims, should read "settable." Claims 75-78 have been amended to correct this typographical error.

The Examiner notes that, should claims 69-74 be found allowable, claims 75-80 will be objected to under 37 C.F.R. § 1.75 as being substantial duplicates thereof. Claims 69-74 have been canceled without prejudice to the underlying subject matter. Applicant

Application No.: 10/777,684  
Amendment dated September 29, 2005  
Reply to Office action dated July 5, 2005

Docket No.: M4065.0699/P699-B

respectfully submits that claims 75-80 include the subject matter of canceled claims 69-74.  
Accordingly, the scope of the pending claims has not been narrowed.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: September 29, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Thomas J. D'Amico

Registration No.: 28,371

Elizabeth Parsons

Registration No.: 52,499

DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN &

OSHINSKY LLP

2101 L Street NW

Washington, DC 20037-1526

(202) 785-9700

Attorneys for Applicant